PRESENT—*cont.*

Simhachalam Pantulu, Mr. G.
Singam Ayyangar, Mr. K.
Siva Raj, Rao Sahib N.
Sivasubrahmanya Ayyar, Mr. K. S.
Smith, C.I.E., I.C.S., Mr. E. Conran.
Soundara Pandian, Mr. W. P. A.
Sreshta, Mr. M. S.
Srinivasa Ayyangar, Mr. T. C.
Srinivasan, Rao Bahadur R.
Sriramulu, Mr. G.
Subbarayan, Dr. P.
Subrahmanya Chetti, Rao Sahib P.
Subrahmanya Bhatt, Mr. U. C.
Sundara Rao Nayudu, Mr. T.
Syed Tajuddin Sahib Bahadur, Khan Sahib.
Thangal, Mr. P. M.
Thomas, Mr. Daniel.
Thorne, C.I.E., I.C.S., Mr. J. A.
Vasudeva Pillai, Mr. V. G.
Venkatachala Reddiyar, Mr. K. C. M.
Venkatarama Ayyar, Mr. K. R.
Venugopal Nayudu, Rao Bahadur R. K.
Viswanatha Rao, Diwan Bahadur V. N.
Yahya Ali Sahib Bahadur, Khan Bahadur.
Yakub Hasan Sahib Bahadur.
Zamindar of Chemudu.
Zamindar of Kirlampudi.
Zamindar of Mirzapuram.

I.—QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

STARRED QUESTIONS

Law and Order (General)

Alleged arrest and detention of certain students of Madras during the Viceregal visit to the City.

* 556 *Q.*—Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state whether it is a fact that two students of the Law College and two of the Christian College, Madras, were arrested in connexion with His Excellency the Viceroy's visit to Madras and kept in the Penitentiary; if so, for what offence and under what provision of law were they arrested and for what time detained?

A.—Yes. They were arrested by order of a Presidency Magistrate under section 107, Criminal Procedure Code, on information placed before him that there was danger that they might commit offences during the visit of His Excellency the Viceroy to Madras. They were released on 20th December 1933.

Presses

Discharge from service of temporary pieceworkers of the Composing department, Government Press, Madras.

* 557 *Q.*—Mr. V. M. Ramaswami Mudaliyar: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the services of all the temporary pieceworkers in the Composing department of the Government Press, except a few, were dispensed with during the course of the year 1931; if so, how many were sent out, how many were retained and what the reason was for sending out so many workers; and

(*b*) how many were taken back in the years 1932 and 1933 and for what work?

A.—(*a*) The services of 161 temporary compositors were dispensed with during 1931, owing to slackness of work. This number leaves out of account those re-entertained in the course of the year. In addition, eight composing machine operators were discharged for inefficiency and irregular attendance, and new men were appointed in their places. The total number of pieceworkers in the composing departments at the beginning of the year was 283 including the eight composing machine operators discharged and the number on the rolls at the end of 1931 was 122 including the newly appointed composing machine operators.

(*b*) Eighty-six temporary compositors were re-entertained owing to general increase of work and the transfer of settlement work from private presses to the Government Press.

Working hours of the B.M. Press, Mangalore.

* 558 *Q.*—Mr. U. C. Subrahmanya Bhatt: Will the hon. the Home Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether it is a fact that the workers of the B.M. Press, Mangalore, made representations to the Inspector of Factories, Madras, and the Collector of South Kanara bringing to their notice the fact that they are made to work longer hours every day than is allowed by the Factories Act; and

(*b*) what action the Government have taken or propose to take regarding the same and to enforce the strict observance of the rules regarding hours of work prescribed by the Factories Act in the said factory in future?

A.—(*a*) An anonymous petition was received by the Chief Inspector of Factories in October 1932 and another petition in December 1933 alleging that certain operatives of the press were made to work longer than the prescribed hours of work. No representation was received by the Collector of South Kanara.

(*b*) The petitions were enquired into by the Inspector of Factories and it was found that the allegations were unfounded. As the provisions of the Indian Factories Act were not violated no action was necessary.

Administration of Justice

Cancellation of pleaders' sanads for participation in the Civil Disobedience Movement.

* 559 *Q.*—Mr. K. A. Nachiyappa Gounder: Will the hon. the Law Member be pleased to state—

(*a*) how many advocates, how many High Court vakils and how many pleaders are practising in each district in this Presidency;

(*b*) what the rate is of fees collected for the grant of sanad to each;

(*c*) in how many cases the sanads have been cancelled in each district during 1931 and 1932 for improper behaviour;